2024 Annual Implementation Plan

for improving student outcomes

University High School (8405)



Submitted for review by Ciar Foster (School Principal) on 17 April, 2024 at 12:38 PM Endorsed by Natalie Bakai (Senior Education Improvement Leader) on 21 April, 2024 at 08:01 PM Awaiting endorsement by School Council President

Self-evaluation summary - 2024

	FISO 2.0 Dimensions	Self-evaluation level
Leadership	Shared development of a culture of respect and collaboration with positive and supportive relationships between students and staff at the core	
Teaching and learning	Use of common and subject-specific high impact teaching and learning strategies as part of a shared and responsive teaching and learning model implemented through positive and supportive student-staff relationships	
Assessment	Systematic use of assessment strategies and measurement practices to obtain and provide feedback on student learning growth, attainment and wellbeing capabilities	
Engagement	Activation of student voice and agency, including in leadership	
Liigugoiiioiit	and learning, to strengthen students' participation and engagement in school	

Support and resources	families/carers, specia	rces and active partnerships with alist providers and community de responsive support to students	
Enter your reflec	tive comments		
Considerations f	or 2024		
Documents that	support this plan		

Select annual goals and KIS

Four-year strategic goals	Is this selected for focus this year?	Four-year strategic targets	12-month target The 12-month target is an incremental step towards meeting the 4-year target, using the same data set.
Priorities goal In 2024 we will continue to focus on student learning - with an increased focus on numeracy - and student wellbeing through the priorities goal, a learning key improvement strategy and a wellbeing key improvement strategy.	No	Support for the priorities	
Every student in every class maximises their learning growth	Yes	By 2025 the percentage of Year 9 students achieving in the top two bands of NAPLAN will be: • 55% in reading (from 45% in 2021) • 35% in writing (from 24% in 2021) • 60% in numeracy (from 52% in 2021)	From (2023) to (2024):From 80% to 83% in 'Exceeding/Strong' for Reading(2023 similar schools measure - 78%)From 73% to 75% in 'Exceeding/Strong' for Writing(2023 similar schools measure - 77%)From 84% to 86% in 'Exceeding/Strong' for Numeracy (2023 similar schools measure - 83%)
		By 2025 improve the NAPLAN at and above Benchmark growth for Year 9 students in reading from 73% in 2021 to 85%, in writing from 80% in 2021 to 85% and in numeracy from 67% in 2021 to 85%	Benchmark growth not measurableReduce low growth Year 9 targetsReading from 24% low growth (2023 interim growth measure) to 20%Numeracy from 29%

			low growth(2023 interim growth measure) to 24%
		By 2025 no greater than 10% discrepancy by teacher judgements when assessing students' Victorian Curriculum levels against NAPLAN bands	At both Year 7 and 9 in Reading and Numeracy, reduce by at least 10% the number of students identified as 'Exceeding' in NAPLAN and as 'at age expected' in TJ
		By 2025 increase the VCE GAT 'adjusted study scores' measure to reflect: - in each subject, a positive trend in mean GAT residuals from 2020 - an increase in the number of subjects that have positive GAT residuals for students in the 25th, 50th and 75th percentiles (considering both year-by-year and 3 year averages)	Increase the number of subjects with average positive residual to at least 15 subjects in 2024, with a focus on multiple class subjects (Business Management, Spec Maths, Physical Education, English Language, EAL, Literature, English, General Maths, Physics, Chemistry, Psychology, Math Methods, Biology, Extended Investigation)
		By 2025 increase the positive response percentages on the Attitudes to School Survey: • Student voice and agency from 46% in 2021 to 55% • Differentiated learning challenge from 54% in 2021 to 65% • Self-regulation and goal setting from 63% in 2021 to 73%	From (2023) to (2024):Student voice and agency: 41% to 47%Differentiated learning challenge: 49% to 55%Self-regulation and goal setting: 59% to 61%
		By 2025 increase the percentage of positive responses in the School Staff Survey for <i>teacher collaboration</i> from 45% in 2021 to 55%	From (2023) to (2024):Teacher Collaboration from 49% to 55%
Every student feels engaged and connected to the school and the broader school community	Yes	By 2025 the percentage of positive responses in the Attitudes to School Survey, to increase for: • Sense of connectedness from 65% in 2021 to 70%	From (2023) to (2024):Sense of connectedness: 52% to 55%Year 7 transitions: 55% to 60%Year 10-12

		 Stage transitions (Year 7 and new) from 61% in 2021 to 70% Stage transitions (Year 10-12) from 59% in 2021 to 65% Sense of confidence from 62% in 2021 to 72% 	transitions: 57% to 60%Sense of confidence: 57% to 60%
		By 2025 decrease the percentage of students with 30+ absence days from 11% in 2021 to 8%	From (2023) to (2024);30+ days: From 21% to 15%20+ days: From 38% to 28%(2023 Similar schools measure - 33%)
		By 2025 the percentage of positive responses in the School Staff Survey, to increase for: • Believe evaluating impact improves practice from 54% in 2021 to 70% • Use student feedback to improve practice from 47% in 2021 to 60% • Promote student ownership of learning goals from 42% in 2021 to 60%	From (2023) to (2024):Evaluating impact: 73% go 78%Use student feedback: 53% to 58%Ownership of learning goals: 58% to 61%
		By 2025 the percentage of positive responses in the Parent Opinion Survey to increase for: • Stimulated learning from 73% in 2020 to 80% • Positive transitions from 81% in 2020 to 85% • Student motivation and support from 61% in 2020 to 70% • Parent Participation and involvement from 49% in 2020 to 60% • Teacher Communication from 48% in 2020 to 60%	From (2023) to (2024):Stimulated learning: 74% to 78%Positive transitions: 80% to 83%Motivation and support: 66% to 68%Parent Participation: 65% to 68%Teacher communication: 58% to 65% (plus decrease not positive to 15%)
Every student feels known, safe and valued at University High School	Yes	By 2025 the percentage of positive responses in the Attitudes to School Survey to increase for:	From (2023) to (2024):Emotional awareness and regulation: 63% to 67%Sense of connectedness: 52% to

		 Emotional awareness and regulation from 65% in 2021 to 75% Sense of connectedness from 65% in 2021 to 75% Managing bullying from 54% in 2021 to 65% Teacher concern from 36% in 2021 to 45% 	55%Managing bullying: 44% to 51%Teacher concern: 27% to 32% (decrease not positive to 20%)
		By 2025 the percentage of positive responses in the Parent Opinion Survey to increase for: • Teacher communication from 48% in 2020 to 60% • Promoting positive behaviour from 77% in 2020 to 83% • Parent community engagement from 57% in 2020 to 70%	From (2023) to (2024):Teacher communication: 58% to 65% (plus decrease not positive to 15%)Promoting positive behaviour: 80% to 83%Parent community engagement: 67% to 70%
		By 2025 the percentage of positive responses in the School Staff Survey, to increase for: • Trust in students and parents from 67% in 2021 to 75% • Support growth and learning of whole student from 49% in 2021 to 65% • Build resilience and a resilient, supportive environment from 42% in 2021 to 55%	From (2023) to (2024):Trust in students and parents: 55% to 60%Support growth and learning whole student: 67% to 72%Build resilience: 45% to 50%
Activate student agency in STEM	Yes	By 2025 an increased proportion of students who report that as a result of participating in a Centre program they: • Have a greater understanding of the role of science and mathematics in everyday life • Have used knowledge and techniques they learned at the Centre in their schoolwork (To be finalised)	Across all new programs developed post 2022:80% of students agree to strongly agree they have a greater understanding of the role of STEM in everyday life80% of students agree to strongly agree that the program was interesting
		By 2025 an increased proportion of teachers who:	Across all new programs developed post 2022:85% of teachers agree to

 Rated their enjoyment of a Centre program as high or very high Rated their students' enjoyment of a Centre program as high or very high (To be finalised) 	strongly agree the program activated student agency80% of teachers agree to strongly agree the program fostered student curiosity85% of teachers rate their students' engagement in a Centre program as high to very high
By 2025 the percentage of positive responses in the School Staff Survey (for GTAC staff), to increase for: • Collective efficacy from XX% in 2021 to YY% • Believe evaluating impact improves practice from XX% in 2021 to YY% • Use student feedback to improve practice from XX% in 2021 to YY% (To be finalised)	School Climate Maintain collective efficacy, collective responsibility, and academic emphasis at or above 80% agree to strongly agree Increase staff trust in colleagues from average 50% agree to strongly agree to 65%. Increase staff collectively influence decisions from average 36% agree to strongly agree to 65%. Teaching and LearningEvaluating impact on learning from average 54% agree to strongly agree to 65%.

Goal 2	Every student in every class maximises their learning growth
12-month target 2.1-month target	From (2023) to (2024): From 80% to 83% in 'Exceeding/Strong' for Reading (2023 similar schools measure - 78%) From 73% to 75% in 'Exceeding/Strong' for Writing (2023 similar schools measure - 77%) From 84% to 86% in 'Exceeding/Strong' for Numeracy (2023 similar schools measure - 83%)

12-month target 2.2-month target	Benchmark growth not measurable Reduce low growth Year 9 targets Reading from 24% low growth (2023 interim growth measure) to 20% Numeracy from 29% low growth(2023 interim growth measure) to 24%		
12-month target 2.3-month target	At both Year 7 and 9 in Reading and Numeracy, reduce by at least 10% the number 'Exceeding' in NAPLAN and as 'at age expected' in TJ	r of students identified as	
12-month target 2.4-month target	Increase the number of subjects with average positive residual to at least 15 subjects in 2024, with a focus on multiple class subjects (Business Management, Spec Maths, Physical Education, English Language, EAL, Literature, English, General Maths, Physics, Chemistry, Psychology, Math Methods, Biology, Extended Investigation)		
12-month target 2.5-month target From (2023) to (2024): Student voice and agency: 41% to 47% Differentiated learning challenge: 49% to 55% Self-regulation and goal setting: 59% to 61%			
12-month target 2.6-month target From (2023) to (2024): Teacher Collaboration from 49% to 55%			
Key Improvement Strategies		Is this KIS selected for focus this year?	
KIS 2.a Excellence in teaching and learning	Develop and embed a shared, visible language of teaching and learning	Yes	
KIS 2.b	Develop and implement collaborative evidence-based professional learning culture and practices	No	

Professional leadership		
KIS 2.c Positive climate for learning	Develop student capabilities to set challenging learning goals and monitor their own growth	No
Explain why the school has selected this KIS as a focus for this year. Please make reference to the self-evaluation, relevant school data, the progress against School Strategic Plan (SSP) goals, targets, and the diagnosis of issues requiring particular attention.	Previous years' work in building collaborative practices has laid foundation for development learning framework	lopment of teaching and
Goal 3	Every student feels engaged and connected to the school and the broader scl	nool community
12-month target 3.1-month target	From (2023) to (2024): Sense of connectedness: 52% to 55% Year 7 transitions: 55% to 60% Year 10-12 transitions: 57% to 60% Sense of confidence: 57% to 60%	
12-month target 3.2-month target	From (2023) to (2024); 30+ days: From 21% to 15% 20+ days: From 38% to 28% (2023 Similar schools measure - 33%)	
12-month target 3.3-month target	From (2023) to (2024): Evaluating impact: 73% go 78% Use student feedback: 53% to 58% Ownership of learning goals: 58% to 61%	
12-month target 3.4-month target	From (2023) to (2024): Stimulated learning: 74% to 78% Positive transitions: 80% to 83%	

	Motivation and support: 66% to 68% Parent Participation: 65% to 68% Teacher communication: 58% to 65% (plus decrease not positive to 15%)		
Key Improvement Strategies		Is this KIS selected for focus this year?	
KIS 3.a Positive climate for learning	Improve the capabilities of every student to be active, confident and self-aware members of the school community	Yes	
KIS 3.b Community engagement in learning	Strengthen parents and community partnerships in learning	No	
KIS 3.c Positive climate for learning	Improve effective transitions and pathways (into, through and beyond the school) for all students	No	
Explain why the school has selected this KIS as a focus for this year. Please make reference to the self-evaluation, relevant school data, the progress against School Strategic Plan (SSP) goals, targets, and the diagnosis of issues requiring particular attention.	changes to reporting systems, plus further embedding previous years' work on student leadership and connection. Addressing ATOSS data on student connection and engagement with learning. s, and the		
Goal 4	Every student feels known, safe and valued at University High School		
12-month target 4.1-month target	From (2023) to (2024): Emotional awareness and regulation: 63% to 67% Sense of connectedness: 52% to 55% Managing bullying: 44% to 51% Teacher concern: 27% to 32% (decrease not positive to 20%)		
12-month target 4.2-month target	From (2023) to (2024): Teacher communication: 58% to 65% (plus decrease not positive to 15%)		

	Promoting positive behaviour: 80% to 83% Parent community engagement: 67% to 70%		
12-month target 4.3-month target From (2023) to (2024): Trust in students and parents: 55% to 60% Support growth and learning whole student: 67% to 72% Build resilience: 45% to 50%			
Key Improvement Strategies		Is this KIS selected for focus this year?	
KIS 4.a Positive climate for learning	Build a visible and shared language in developing positive relationships and wellbeing	No	
KIS 4.b Positive climate for learning	Strengthen consistent school-wide structures and processes to ensure a positive learning environment	Yes	
KIS 4.c Positive climate for learning	Build the structures and processes to activate and value student voice both in and outside the classroom	No	
Explain why the school has selected this KIS as a focus for this year. Please make reference to the self-evaluation, relevant school data, the progress against School Strategic Plan (SSP) goals, targets, and the diagnosis of issues requiring particular attention.	Continuing previous years' learning on BSEM and work to introduce School Wide Pour UHS Relationships, Engagement and Empowerment framework. Responding to AT sense of connectedness and absence days.		
Goal 5	Activate student agency in STEM		
12-month target 5.1-month target	Across all new programs developed post 2022: 80% of students agree to strongly agree they have a greater understanding of the role of STEM in everyday life 80% of students agree to strongly agree that the program was interesting		

12-month target 5.2-month target	Across all new programs developed post 2022: 85% of teachers agree to strongly agree the program activated student agency 80% of teachers agree to strongly agree the program fostered student curiosity 85% of teachers rate their students' engagement in a Centre program as high to very high				
12-month target 5.3-month target	School Climate Maintain collective efficacy, collective responsibility, and academic emphasis at or above 80% agree to strongly agree Increase staff trust in colleagues from average 50% agree to strongly agree to 65%. Increase staff collectively influence decisions from average 36% agree to strongly agree to 65%. Teaching and Learning Evaluating impact on learning from average 54% agree to strongly agree to 65%.				
Key Improvement Strategies		Is this KIS selected for focus this year?			
KIS 5.a Excellence in teaching and learning	Build staff capabilities to design and deliver highly engaging STEM programs	Yes			
KIS 5.b Excellence in teaching and learning	Build consistency in high-quality teaching practice	Yes			
KIS 5.c Excellence in teaching and learning	Strengthen staff capabilities to effectively use data for continuous improvement	Yes			
Explain why the school has selected this KIS as a focus for this year. Please make reference to the self-evaluation, relevant school data, the progress against School Strategic Plan (SSP) goals, targets, and the diagnosis of issues requiring particular attention.	Continuing to develop programs to address these KIS				

Define actions, outcomes, success indicators and activities

Goal 2	Every student in every class maximises their learning growth
12-month target 2.1 target	From (2023) to (2024): From 80% to 83% in 'Exceeding/Strong' for Reading (2023 similar schools measure - 78%) From 73% to 75% in 'Exceeding/Strong' for Writing (2023 similar schools measure - 77%) From 84% to 86% in 'Exceeding/Strong' for Numeracy (2023 similar schools measure - 83%)
12-month target 2.2 target	Benchmark growth not measurable Reduce low growth Year 9 targets Reading from 24% low growth (2023 interim growth measure) to 20% Numeracy from 29% low growth(2023 interim growth measure) to 24%
12-month target 2.3 target	At both Year 7 and 9 in Reading and Numeracy, reduce by at least 10% the number of students identified as 'Exceeding' in NAPLAN and as 'at age expected' in TJ
12-month target 2.4 target	Increase the number of subjects with average positive residual to at least 15 subjects in 2024, with a focus on multiple class subjects (Business Management, Spec Maths, Physical Education, English Language, EAL, Literature, English, General Maths, Physics, Chemistry, Psychology, Math Methods, Biology, Extended Investigation)
12-month target 2.5 target	From (2023) to (2024): Student voice and agency: 41% to 47%

	Differentiated learning challenge: 49% to 55% Self-regulation and goal setting: 59% to 61%					
12-month target 2.6 target	From (2023) to (2024): Teacher Collaboration from 49	9% to 55%				
KIS 2.a Building practice excellence	Develop and embed a shared,	visible language of teaching and	d learning			
Actions	Support collaborative teams (F and clarity on key learning out	Develop and implement a Learning Culture Framework Support collaborative teams (PLCs, subject teams) to enhance assessment design rigour, organisation of learning data, and clarity on key learning outcomes Enhance alignment of learning support processes and programs and support for teachers in implementing this				
Outcomes	Use of shared resources to su	Establishment of common language for high quality teaching practice Use of shared resources to support high quality teaching practices Use of data and responsive teaching strategies to meet students at the point of need				
Success Indicators	Engagement in and notes from Use of student IEPs and progr Use of data action plans by teat Unit plan outlines	Subject/team-based formative and internal assessment data				
Activities	People responsible Is this a PL priority When Activity cost and funding streams					
Workshops and working party to develop draft Learning Culture Framework		✓ Leading teacher(s)✓ Learning specialist(s)	☑ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 2	\$1,000.00 ☑ Other funding will be used	

Development of playbook and resources to support Learning Culture framework	☑ Leading teacher(s)	☑ PLP Priority	from: Term 2 to: Term 3	\$1,000.00 ☑ Other funding will be used
Lead domains and teacher teams in analysis of VC and NAPLAN data	✓ KLA leader✓ Leading teacher(s)	□ PLP Priority	from: Term 2 to: Term 2	\$0.00 ☑ Other funding will be used
Supporting Domains to enact Action Plan from VCE insights through backwards design process	☑ KLA leader☑ Leading teacher(s)	□ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 2	\$0.00 ☑ Other funding will be used
Review and update VCE Handbook and shared assessment practices with VCE teachers	☑ Leading teacher(s)	□ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 1	\$0.00 ☑ Other funding will be used
Implement Collaborative Conversations coaching model with focus on early career/new staff and supporting teachers to enact strategies for students with additional needs	☑ Assistant principal☑ Learning specialist(s)	☑ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	\$5,000.00 ☑ Other funding will be used
Adapt TLI structure to place Learning Specialists in classes with a focus on Literacy and Numeracy support and Responsive Teaching practices	☑ Learning specialist(s)	□ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	\$100,000.00 ☑ Other funding will be used

		✓ Leading teacher(s) ✓ Learning specialist(s)	□ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	\$150,000.00 Disability Inclusion Tier 2 Funding will be used	
Support PLCs alignment with Learning framework and whole school PL		☑ Leading teacher(s)	□ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	\$1,000.00 Other funding will be used	
Professional learning focus on assessment practices and use of data in PLCs		✓ Leading teacher(s)✓ Learning specialist(s)	☑ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 1	\$0.00 ☑ Other funding will be used	
Review 7&8 Literacy and Numeracy support programs and embed alignment with TLI and DI identification and IEP processes		✓ Assistant principal✓ Leading teacher(s)✓ Learning specialist(s)	□ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	\$24,000.00 Disability Inclusion Tier 2 Funding will be used	
Goal 3	Every student feels engaged and connected to the school and the broader school community					
12-month target 3.1 target	From (2023) to (2024): Sense of connectedness: 52% to 55% Year 7 transitions: 55% to 60% Year 10-12 transitions: 57% to 60% Sense of confidence: 57% to 60%					

12-month target 3.2 target	From (2023) to (2024); 30+ days: From 21% to 15% 20+ days: From 38% to 28% (2023 Similar schools measure - 33%)
12-month target 3.3 target	From (2023) to (2024): Evaluating impact: 73% go 78% Use student feedback: 53% to 58% Ownership of learning goals: 58% to 61%
12-month target 3.4 target	From (2023) to (2024): Stimulated learning: 74% to 78% Positive transitions: 80% to 83% Motivation and support: 66% to 68% Parent Participation: 65% to 68% Teacher communication: 58% to 65% (plus decrease not positive to 15%)
KIS 3.a Empowering students and building school pride	Improve the capabilities of every student to be active, confident and self-aware members of the school community
Actions	Embed revised student leadership structure Develop toolbox of student agency and feedback strategies in Learning Culture Framework Review Year 7-9 curriculum provision (focus on Year 9) to enhance student engagement and agency and application of VC capabilities Enhance student goal-setting and reflection in Connect Enhance Careers education provision
Outcomes	Active student participation in school events and decision making Student agency practices featured in Learning Culture Framework Year 7-9 curriculum revised for 2025 and beyond
Success Indicators	Student participation in leadership activities Teacher engagement in development of Learning Culture Framework

Curriculum documentation at Year 7-9
Student engagement in goal-setting and reflection activities
Student participation in Morrisby Testing, Work Experience, Careers Week and Career Action Planning

Ctadon participation in Montosy Toothing, Tront Experience, Caroor Trook and Caroor richard in Indian				
Activities	People responsible	Is this a PL priority	When	Activity cost and funding streams
Build depth of student leadership - Selection of Senior and Middle School leaders for 2024 - Build depth of student leadership through portfolios - Student Leadership Planning Day (Middle and Senior) - Develop further leadership opportunities for students who where unsuccessful	☑ Leading teacher(s)	□ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	\$5,000.00 ☑ Other funding will be used
Build a toolbox of metacognitive, revision, and study skills as part of the resources that support the Learning Culture Framework and Connect Program	☑ Leadership team	□ PLP Priority	from: Term 2 to: Term 3	\$1,000.00 ☑ Other funding will be used
Develop shared templates for seeking student feedback in the classroom	☑ Leading teacher(s)	□ PLP Priority	from: Term 3 to: Term 4	\$0.00 ☑ Other funding will be used
Seek and embed student feedback to inform Learning Culture Framework	✓ Assistant principal✓ Leading teacher(s)✓ Learning specialist(s)	□ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 2	\$0.00 ☑ Other funding will be used
Review Year 9 curriculum structure including Galileo program; develop proposed new structure for 2025 and beyond	✓ Assistant principal✓ KLA leader	☑ PLP Priority	from: Term 1	\$1,000.00

		☑ Leadership team		to: Term 3	☑ Other funding will be used
Review Year 7 and 8 curriculum design; adaptations for new timetable structure 2025		✓ Assistant principal✓ KLA leader✓ Leading teacher(s)	☑ PLP Priority	from: Term 2 to: Term 4	\$1,000.00 ☑ Other funding will be used
Review and enhance Morrisby testing, Work Experience and use of Career Action Plans with a focus on Years 8-10		☑ Assistant principal☑ Careers leader/team☑ Leading teacher(s)	□ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	\$0.00 ☑ Other funding will be used
Embed student goal-setting and use of Attitude and Effort rubrics		☑ Leading teacher(s)	□ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	\$0.00 ☑ Other funding will be used
Goal 4	Every student feels known, sa	fe and valued at University Hig	gh School		
12-month target 4.1 target	From (2023) to (2024): Emotional awareness and regulation: 63% to 67% Sense of connectedness: 52% to 55% Managing bullying: 44% to 51% Teacher concern: 27% to 32% (decrease not positive to 20%)				
12-month target 4.2 target	From (2023) to (2024): Teacher communication: 58% to 65% (plus decrease not positive to 15%) Promoting positive behaviour: 80% to 83% Parent community engagement: 67% to 70%				

12-month target 4.3 target	From (2023) to (2024): Trust in students and parents: 55% to 60% Support growth and learning whole student: 67% to 72% Build resilience: 45% to 50%					
KIS 4.b Setting expectations and promoting inclusion	Strengthen consistent school-v	Strengthen consistent school-wide structures and processes to ensure a positive learning environment				
Actions	Continue to review and embed Develop and implement Relation	Implement revised horizontal sub-school structure and align processes Continue to review and embed Connect program Develop and implement Relationships, Engagement and Empowment Framework using SWBPS and BSEM Enhance teacher-family communication practices				
Outcomes	Enhanced clarity and responsiveness in sub-school processes More frequent communication between home and school; more direct teacher communication with home Clearly defined Relationships and Behaviours Framework in action Increased proactive rather than reactive work in wellbeing team					
Success Indicators	Positive Behaviours framework Positive Behaviours framework	o-school processes ons developed and implemented k visible in classrooms and other k used in restorative conversation proactive programs to support id	ns with students		needs	
Activities	People responsible Is this a PL priority When Activity cost and funding streams					
Establish consistent and aligned processes across subschools - master documents compilation - rolling review of processes		☑ Sub school leader/s	□ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	\$500.00 ☑ Other funding will be used	

- ensure resources shared and stored centrally				
Increase effectiveness of communication between HoSS + Coordinating Teams - Change of coordinator meetings / 1-2 meetings all year levels, 2-3 meetings at year levels per term - Plan meetings ahead for the year/semester/term - Continuing regular reviews of processes and practices - Restorative practices PD	☑ Sub school leader/s	□ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 1	\$1,000.00 Other funding will be used
Review and update Connect curriculum for 2024 and in to 2025 - greater ownership by year level teams - opportunities for staff input - review and re-map RR and consent education - increase careers education component	 ✓ Assistant principal ✓ Respectful relationships implementation team ✓ Sub school leader/s 	□ PLP Priority	from: Term 2 to: Term 3	\$1,000.00 Other funding will be used
Increase involvement of students and staff in celebrations - Create a calendar of student organised and run events - Create a calendar of Whole School events/celebrations and shared responsibility of events	☑ Student leadership coordinator	□ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	\$0.00 ☑ Other funding will be used
Improve effectiveness of communication between teachers and parents/carers - Create a classroom teacher > parent/guardian communication guide - Review and development of Chronicle Templates to Facilitate Communication with Families - Stage introduction of direct teacher - parent communication - Focus on positive behaviour acknowledgement	✓ Assistant principal✓ Leadership team	□ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 2	\$5,000.00

Finalise and implement whole-school positive behaviours matrix based on school values - student and staff feedback - mini-lessons to explicitly teach behaviours - focus on identified behaviours in particular classes/times		✓ Leading teacher(s)✓ SWPBS leader/team	☑ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 2	\$0.00 ☑ Other funding will be used
Develop continuum of response for behaviours outside our values		☑ SWPBS leader/team	☑ PLP Priority	from: Term 3 to: Term 4	\$0.00 ☑ Other funding will be used
Map yearly plan of proactive wellbeing events and interventions, plus targetted programs		☑ Wellbeing team	□ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	\$30,000.00 Schools Mental Health Menu items will be used which may include DET funded or free items
Goal 5	Activate student agency in ST	EM			,
12-month target 5.1 target	Across all new programs developed post 2022: 80% of students agree to strongly agree they have a greater understanding of the role of STEM in everyday life 80% of students agree to strongly agree that the program was interesting				
12-month target 5.2 target	Across all new programs developed post 2022: 85% of teachers agree to strongly agree the program activated student agency 80% of teachers agree to strongly agree the program fostered student curiosity 85% of teachers rate their students' engagement in a Centre program as high to very high				

12-month target 5.3 target	School Climate Maintain collective efficacy, collective responsibility, and academic emphasis at or above 80% agree to strongly agree Increase staff trust in colleagues from average 50% agree to strongly agree to 65%. Increase staff collectively influence decisions from average 36% agree to strongly agree to 65%. Teaching and Learning Evaluating impact on learning from average 54% agree to strongly agree to 65%.
KIS 5.a Building practice excellence	Build staff capabilities to design and deliver highly engaging STEM programs
Actions	Develop and implement at least 2 primary programs to activate student agency in STEM Develop and implement a shared and responsive teaching and learning cycle that activates student agency in STEM through gamification Build staff capabilities to design and deliver programs that actively engage and support inclusion of ATSI students in STEM
Outcomes	Students participating in new programs will display an awareness of and competence in STEM practices in inquiry or design thinking (years 5 - 10) School teachers will be empowered to deliver STEM programs that activate student agency and foster curiosity and confidence in STEM Education officers will design a program for inclusion of ATSI students in STEM to activate student agency, curiosity and confidence in STEM inquiry and/or design thinking (years 5 - 10) Education officers will develop a gamification teaching and learning cycle and apply it to developing learning activities in programs to activate student agency, curiosity and confidence in STEM inquiry and/or design thinking (years 5 - 10) Leaders will coordinate professional learning to build the capacity of education officers to design programs with a focus on ATSI inclusion in STEM Leaders will prioritise time for collaboration for program design in PLC/PLTs and allocate resources to support new primary program development (years 5 & 6)
Success Indicators	GTAC program design guide inclusive of: GTAC values; teaching and learning cycles for design thinking, STEM cycle of inquiry, and gamification with audit tools; big picture design tool; bullseye for student voice; audit tools for agency; agency guiding principles; and program coordinator checklists GTAC student agency measurement tools

	At least two new programs for primary students designed, developed and evaluated for student agency. Participating student and teacher case studies Participating student and teacher exit surveys Internal staff survey (Collective Efficacy, collective responsibility and academic emphasis at or above 75% agree to strongly agree; staff trust in colleagues at or above 65%)				
Activities		People responsible	Is this a PL priority	When	Activity cost and funding streams
Through our PLC/PLTs co-dev guide	elop a GTAC program design	✓ Assistant principal✓ Leading teacher(s)✓ Teacher(s)	□ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 3	\$1,000.00 Other funding will be used
PLC/PLTs engage with primary schools and STEM education providers to observe teacher practice and student learning, to interact with student focus groups (to collect student voice data), and identify opportunities to activate student agency, and foster student curiosity and confidence in STEM learning		✓ Assistant principal✓ Leading teacher(s)✓ Teacher(s)	□ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	\$3,000.00 ☑ Other funding will be used
Leadership coordinates PL to engage education officers in professional cultural training and to co-create a GTAC program incorporating Indigenous ways of learning, cultural perspectives, and STEM knowledge		✓ Assistant principal✓ Leading teacher(s)✓ Teacher(s)	☑ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	\$5,000.00 Other funding will be used
KIS 5.b Building practice excellence	Build consistency in high-quality teaching practice				
Actions	Develop and implement a schedule to support education officers to train scientist mentors in questioning and feedback in a community of practice Build GTAC education officers' capacity to train GTAC Scientist mentors in the HITS of questioning to foster student dialogue, and feedback (formative assessment) Through our PLC, develop a mentor playbook to train mentors in strategies to provide feedback to students				

Outcomes	Students engage in collaborat GTAC scientist mentors under dialogue and to provide feedb GTAC scientist mentors are so Leaders and Education officer student learning and research	Individual student learning is supported through effective formative assessment Students engage in collaborative dialogue to enhance their learning GTAC scientist mentors understand and explicitly use questioning and formative assessment strategies to foster student dialogue and to provide feedback GTAC scientist mentors are supported through coaching to improve skills in questioning and feedback Leaders and Education officers implement PLC cycle of inquiry using observing to learn strategies to collect evidence of student learning and research evidence to implement effective feedback strategies. Education officers coach GTAC scientist mentors in a Community of Practice			
Success Indicators	Evidence in GTAC scientist m playbook for questioning and c Qualitative and quantitative da student participation in collabor Community of Practice roster and GTAC scientist mentors Observations of students and support individual student lear	Observations of students and mentors during learning walks measure effect of mentors using formative feedback to support individual student learning GTAC scientist mentor survey and focus groups show increased confidence in using questioning for collaborative			
Activities		People responsible	Is this a PL priority	When	Activity cost and funding streams
Leadership works with Monash Q to lead education officers in a cycle of inquiry to co-develop strategies for formative assessment to update the GTAC Mentor Playbook		✓ Assistant principal✓ Leading teacher(s)✓ Teacher(s)	☑ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 3	\$5,000.00 ☑ Other funding will be used
PLC engages with EdPartnerhips Observing to Learn program to train staff in effective strategies to collect data on teaching and learning		✓ Assistant principal✓ Leading teacher(s)✓ Teacher(s)	☑ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 3	\$4,000.00 ☑ Other funding will be used

Activities		People responsible	Is this a PL priority	When	Activity cost and funding streams
Success Indicators	New exit surveys developed for teachers and students that can be used to collect and evaluate data to measure and inform improvement strategies Education Officers use a co-designed approach to develop teacher and student case studies Education officers document evidence of program design in response to data PLC use data and research evidence to inform focus areas for improvement Staff survey: Teaching and learning evaluating impact on learning from average 54% to 65% agree to strongly agree				
Outcomes	Education officers embed a shared practice of using evidence to design, deliver and evaluate programs In our PLC we use data to review and improve programs in a cycle of inquiry				
Actions	Develop and embed a Centre-wide approach of using evidence to learn Investigate approaches to using data more effectively to evaluate student and teacher experience Build teacher capacity to implement PLC inquiry cycles using the improvement cycle				
KIS 5.c Curriculum planning and assessment	Strengthen staff capabilities to effectively use data for continuous improvement				
	adership establishes a calendar outlining the training quence, pedagogies, and data collection responsibilities to hieve our CoP goals each term		□ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	\$0.00 ☑ Other funding will be used
Leadership team provides education officers with training on having coaching conversations to improve the teaching skills of GTAC scientist mentors		✓ Assistant principal ✓ Leading teacher(s) ✓ Teacher(s)	☑ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	\$4,000.00 ☑ Other funding will be used

Engage with STEM Centres and Department of Education Tech schools division to identify STEM Centre key indicators of success and methods to measure program impact	✓ Assistant principal✓ Leading teacher(s)	☑ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	\$500.00 ☑ Other funding will be used
In our PLC develop questions for qualitative and quantitative assessment of student and teacher experience (case studies and exit surveys)	☑ Assistant principal☑ Leading teacher(s)☑ Teacher(s)	☑ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	\$0.00 ☑ Other funding will be used
GTAC staff work with Monash Q to develop skills in using research evidence to inform practice	✓ Assistant principal✓ Leading teacher(s)✓ Teacher(s)	☑ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	\$5,000.00
GTAC staff trained in methods of observing to learn to collect data for use in PLC cycle of inquiry	✓ Assistant principal✓ Teacher(s)	□ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	\$0.00 ☑ Other funding will be used
Identify opportunities for working with experts to improve staff data literacy	☑ Assistant principal	□ PLP Priority	from: Term 1 to: Term 2	\$4,000.00

Funding planner

Summary of budget and allocated funding

Summary of budget	School's total funding (\$)	Funding allocated in activities (\$)	Still available/shortfall
Equity Funding	\$188,358.85	\$0.00	\$188,358.85
Disability Inclusion Tier 2 Funding	\$266,220.17	\$0.00	\$266,220.17
Schools Mental Health Fund and Menu	\$178,558.96	\$0.00	\$178,558.96
Total	\$633,137.98	\$0.00	\$633,137.98

Activities and milestones – Total Budget

Activities and milestones	Budget
Support all staff with understanding responding to student learning needs via IEPs and learning recommendations; provide targetted support to teachers with identified Tier 2/3 students	\$150,000.00
Review 7&8 Literacy and Numeracy support programs and embed alignment with TLI and DI identification and IEP processes	\$24,000.00
Map yearly plan of proactive wellbeing events and interventions, plus targetted programs	\$30,000.00
Totals	\$204,000.00

Activities and milestones - Equity Funding

Activities and milestones	When	Funding allocated (\$)	Category
Totals		\$0.00	

Activities and milestones - Disability Inclusion Funding

Activities and milestones	When	Funding allocated (\$)	Category
Support all staff with understanding responding to student learning needs via IEPs and learning recommendations; provide targetted support to teachers with identified Tier 2/3 students	from: Term 1 to: Term 4		
Review 7&8 Literacy and Numeracy support programs and embed alignment with TLI and DI identification and IEP processes	from: Term 1 to: Term 4		
Totals		\$0.00	

Activities and milestones - Schools Mental Health Fund and Menu

Activities and milestones	When	Funding allocated (\$)	Category
Map yearly plan of proactive wellbeing events and interventions, plus targetted programs	from: Term 1 to: Term 4		

Totals \$0.00	
---------------	--

Additional funding planner – Total Budget

Activities and milestones	Budget
Totals	\$0.00

Additional funding planner – Equity Funding

Activities and milestones	When	Funding allocated (\$)	Category
Totals		\$0.00	

Additional funding planner – Disability Inclusion Funding

Activities and milestones	When	Funding allocated (\$)	Category
Totals		\$0.00	

Additional funding planner – Schools Mental Health Fund and Menu

Activities and milestones	When	Funding allocated (\$)	Category
Totals		\$0.00	

Professional learning plan

Professional learning priority	Who	When	Key professional learning strategies	Organisational structure	Expertise accessed	Where
Workshops and working party to develop draft Learning Culture Framework	✓ Leading teacher(s) ✓ Learning specialist(s)	from: Term 1 to: Term 2	☑ Collaborative inquiry/action research team	☑ Communities of practice	 ✓ Internal staff ✓ Learning specialist ✓ Practice Principles for Excellence in Teaching and Learning ✓ Pedagogical Model ✓ High Impact Teaching Strategies (HITS) 	☑ On-site
Development of playbook and resources to support Learning Culture framework	☑ Leading teacher(s)	from: Term 2 to: Term 3	 ☑ Collaborative inquiry/action research team ☑ Individualised reflection ☑ Demonstration lessons 	✓ Formal school meeting / internal professional learning sessions ✓ PLC/PLT meeting	 ☑ Internal staff ☑ External consultants Responsive Teaching Masterclass ☑ Practice Principles for Excellence in Teaching and Learning ☑ Pedagogical Model ☑ High Impact Teaching Strategies (HITS) 	☑ On-site

Implement Collaborative Conversations coaching model with focus on early career/new staff and supporting teachers to enact strategies for students with additional needs	✓ Assistant principal ✓ Learning specialist(s)	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	 ✓ Peer observation including feedback and reflection ✓ Individualised reflection 	☑ Formal school meeting / internal professional learning sessions	☑ Learning specialist	☑ On-site
Professional learning focus on assessment practices and use of data in PLCs	✓ Leading teacher(s) ✓ Learning specialist(s)	from: Term 1 to: Term 1	✓ Moderated assessment of student learning ✓ Formalised PLC/PLTs	☑ PLC/PLT meeting	☑ Internal staff	☑ On-site
Review Year 9 curriculum structure including Galileo program; develop proposed new structure for 2025 and beyond	✓ Assistant principal ✓ KLA leader ✓ Leadership team	from: Term 1 to: Term 3	☑ Curriculum development	 ☑ Whole school pupil free day ☑ Formal school meeting / internal professional learning sessions ☑ Timetabled planning day 	✓ VCAA curriculum specialist ✓ Internal staff ✓ External consultants VCAA; UniMelb ✓ Practice Principles for Excellence in Teaching and Learning ✓ Pedagogical Model ✓ High Impact Teaching Strategies (HITS)	☑ On-site

Review Year 7 and 8 curriculum design; adaptations for new timetable structure 2025	✓ Assistant principal ✓ KLA leader ✓ Leading teacher(s)	from: Term 2 to: Term 4	☑ Curriculum development	 ☑ Whole school pupil free day ☑ Formal school meeting / internal professional learning sessions ☑ Timetabled planning day 	✓ VCAA curriculum specialist✓ Internal staff✓ Subject association	☑ On-site
Finalise and implement whole-school positive behaviours matrix based on school values - student and staff feedback - mini-lessons to explicitly teach behaviours - focus on identified behaviours in particular classes/times	✓ Leading teacher(s) ✓ SWPBS leader/team	from: Term 1 to: Term 2	 ☑ Curriculum development ☑ Student voice, including input and feedback ☑ Demonstration lessons 	☑ Formal school meeting / internal professional learning sessions	☑ Departmental resources SWBPS	☑ On-site
Develop continuum of response for behaviours outside our values	☑ SWPBS leader/team	from: Term 3 to: Term 4	☑ Collaborative inquiry/action research team	 ✓ Formal school meeting / internal professional learning sessions ✓ Timetabled planning day 	☑ Departmental resources SWPBS	☑ On-site
Leadership coordinates PL to engage education officers in professional cultural training and to co- create a GTAC program incorporating Indigenous	✓ Assistant principal ✓ Leading teacher(s)	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	☑ Curriculum development	☑ Whole school pupil free day☑ Formal school meeting / internal	☑ External consultantsEd partnerships☑ Pedagogical Model	☑ On-site

ways of learning, cultural perspectives, and STEM knowledge	☑ Teacher(s)			professional learning sessions ☑ Timetabled planning day ☑ PLC/PLT meeting		
Leadership works with Monash Q to lead education officers in a cycle of inquiry to co- develop strategies for formative assessment to update the GTAC Mentor Playbook	✓ Assistant principal ✓ Leading teacher(s) ✓ Teacher(s)	from: Term 1 to: Term 3	☑ Design of formative assessments	 ✓ Formal school meeting / internal professional learning sessions ✓ Communities of practice 	☑ External consultants Monash Q	☑ On-site
PLC engages with EdPartnerhips Observing to Learn program to train staff in effective strategies to collect data on teaching and learning	✓ Assistant principal ✓ Leading teacher(s) ✓ Teacher(s)	from: Term 1 to: Term 3	 ✓ Peer observation including feedback and reflection ✓ Formalised PLC/PLTs 	☑ PLC/PLT meeting	☑ Internal staff ☑ External consultants EdPartnerships	☑ On-site
Leadership team provides education officers with training on having coaching conversations to improve the teaching skills of GTAC scientist mentors	✓ Assistant principal ✓ Leading teacher(s) ✓ Teacher(s)	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	☑ Peer observation including feedback and reflection	☑ Formal school meeting / internal professional learning sessions	☑ Internal staff	☑ On-site

Engage with STEM Centres and Department of Education Tech schools division to identify STEM Centre key indicators of success and methods to measure program impact	✓ Assistant principal ✓ Leading teacher(s)	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	☑ Planning	☑ Regional leadership conferences	☑ Teaching partners	☑ On-site
In our PLC develop questions for qualitative and quantitative assessment of student and teacher experience (case studies and exit surveys)	✓ Assistant principal ✓ Leading teacher(s) ✓ Teacher(s)	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	☑ Student voice, including input and feedback	☑ PLC/PLT meeting	☑ Internal staff	☑ On-site
GTAC staff work with Monash Q to develop skills in using research evidence to inform practice	✓ Assistant principal ✓ Leading teacher(s) ✓ Teacher(s)	from: Term 1 to: Term 4	☑ Design of formative assessments	☑ Formal school meeting / internal professional learning sessions	☑ External consultants Monash Q	☑ On-site